

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/018.006	03/28/2002	Alexander Pilger	1454.1124	7148
21171			EXAMINER	
STAAS & HALSEY LLP SUITE 700			AVELLINO, JOSEPH E	
1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2143	
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MC	ONTHS	03/06/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summer	10/018,006	PILGER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Joseph E. Avellino	. 2143				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sh	eet with the correspondence a	ddress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, eply within the statutory minimur od will apply and will expire SIX (tute, cause the application to bec	may a reply be timely filed n of thirty (30) days will be considered time 6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20	February 2007.					
	his action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	<u> </u>					
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 193	5 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7-11 and 13-16</u> is/are pending in th	e application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	• •	n.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7-11 and 13-16</u> is/are rejected.	_					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	l/or election requirement	nt.				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		ed to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in a	beyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the dr	awing(s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the att	ached Office Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bures* * See the attached detailed Office action for a light	ents have been receive ents have been receive riority documents have eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	d. d in Application No been received in this National	l Stage			
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		rview Summary (PTO-413)				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	08) 5) 🔲 Not	er No(s)/Mail Date ice of Informal Patent Application (PT er:	O-152)			

Art Unit: 2143

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 7-11, and 13-16 are presented for examination; claim 7 independent.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on February 20, 2007 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 7-11, and 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Fijolek et al. (USPN 6,223,222) (hereinafter Fijolek).

4. Referring to claim 7, Fijolek discloses a communication system utilizing a network (Figure 1), comprising:

· Application/Control Number: 10/018,006

Art Unit: 2143

a user computer (i.e. CPE 18) connected to the network 14 (Figure 1) including an access unit (i.e. Cable Modem 16) which determines predetermined QoS features for interaction with the network (i.e. requests a particular QoS, and performs the communication at the acquired QoS) (e.g. abstract; Figure 18; col. 29, line 55 to col. 30, line 7); and

a service provider computer (i.e. QoS Server 332) connected to the network 14 (Figure 1), providing the predetermined QoS featuers to said access unit, to enable the QoS features of the access unit (i.e. provide a QoS identifier to the cable modem to perform communications at the requested CoS and rate) prior to the utilizing of the QoS features by said access unit (i.e. the QoS server gives the identifier to the CM 16 which then uses the permitted QoS on the data network 28(Figures 18, 19, and 27; col. 29, line 55 to col. 30, line 7; col. 36, lines 44-61); and

a database, connected to the service provider computer, to determine which of the predetermined QoS features (i.e. identifiers) are currently permissible for the user computer (i.e. what identifiers are currently used for each indication of CoS, QoS and other related parameters requested by the modem (col. 29, line 55 to col. 30, line 5).

- 5. Referring to claim 8, Fijolek discloses the network is the Internet (i.e. data net 28) (Figure 1).
- 6. Referring to claim 9, Fijolek discloses the access unit is an autonomous device (i.e. a cable modem is considered an autonomous device) (Figure 1, col. 6, lines 30-35).

*Application/Control Number: 10/018,006

Art Unit: 2143

7. Referring to claim 10, Fijolek discloses the access unit is a plug-in device for the first computer (the Office takes the term "plug-in device" to be broadly construed as "a device which can be physically or logically connected to a computer" such as the cable modem can be "plugged into" the CPE 20 via an Ethernet cable) (Figure 1).

Page 4

- 8. Referring to claim 11, Fijolek discloses the access unit is a processor of the first computer programmed to determine predetermined QoS features for interaction with the network (i.e. since the interface device acts on behalf of the first computer, it can be considered that the interface access device processor is a processor of the first computer since without the interface, the first computer would be unable to access the network (col. 29, line 55 to col. 30, line 5).
- 9. Referring to claim 13, Fijolek discloses the QoS computer is assigned to an ISP (i.e. data over cable system 330 is part of the ISP network) (col. 29, line 55 to col. 30, line 5).
- 10. Referring to claim 14, Fijolek discloses the QoS features are called up dynamically in the access unit (the Office takes the term "called up" as created) (col. 5, lines 56-67).

Art Unit: 2143

11. Referring to claim 15, Fijolek discloses the access unit (i.e. cable modem) converts from a first protocol (i.e. Ethernet connecting the cable modem with the CPE 20) to a second protocol (i.e. the protocol used to transfer data over a cable network, commonly known as DOCSIS) (col. 6, lines 30-35).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

12. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fijolek in view of Loukianov (USPN 6,249,526).

13. Fijolek discloses the invention substantively as described in claim 7. Fijolek inherently requires that the access unit is incapable of operation without additional components (i.e. a power cord to provide power to the modem, as well as data cables to connect various components to the modem). Fijolek does not specifically state that the cable modem is an integral component to the user computer. In analogous art, Loukianov discloses another communications system utilizing a network (Figure 1, ref. 100) which discloses a cable modem as an integral component to the user computer (i.e. "the cable modem unit 300 is in the PCI form factor such as one of the peripheral devices"; a device in the PCI form factor cannot operate without being connected to the client computer, which delivers power and timing configurations, which satisfies the

Art Unit: 2143

definition of being an "integral" component to the client computer) (Figure 3; col. 4, lines 8-14). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of Fijolek with Loukianov in order to help the cable modern of Fijolek perform time-critical tasks without an on-board processor and accommodate the changing specifications in the protocol without modification to the hardware as supported by Loukianov (col. 1, lines 43-48).

Response to Arguments

- 14. Applicant's arguments, dated February 20, 2007 have been fully considered but are not persuasive.
- 15. In the remarks, Applicant argues, in substance, that (1) Fijolek does not disclose providing the QoS features to the access unit prior to the use of the QoS by the access unit.
- 16. As to point (1), Applicant is incorrect. The request to the QoS server sent by the Cable Modem does not actually utilize the requested QoS, since the QoS is for the Data network, which is past the QoS server. The request is sent through the cable network, to the QoS server incorporated in the Cable headend (see Figure 18), which then determines if there is enough bandwidth on the second network (Figure 19, ref. 340), and, if so, issues the QoS identifier for the CM to use on the data network (figure 19, ref.

Application/Control Number: 10/018,006

Art Unit: 2143

348). As discussed, Fijolek clearly discloses the use of QoS, only after it has been established by the QoS server. By this rationale, the rejection is maintained.

Conclusion

17. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph E. Avellino whose telephone number is (571) 272-3905. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:00-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David A. Wiley can be reached on (571) 272-3923. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 10/018,006

Art Unit: 2143

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-29199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Page 8

Joseph E. Avellino, Examiner

February 28, 2007